

File Number: 2004-0772 No. 05-08

E12308

# NOTICE OF INTENT TO ADOPT A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

This form is provided as a notification of intent to adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration which has been prepared in compliance with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended, and Resolution #118-04.

## PROJECT TITLE:

Application for a Rezone, Special Development Permit, and Parcel Map filed by Calla Properties

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION (APN):

Application for related proposals on an 11,200 square foot site located at **393 Beemer Avenue** (near N Mathilda Ave) on an R-2 (Low-Medium Density Residential) Zoning District. (APN: 204-51-030)

- Rezone from R-2 (Low-Medium Density Residential) Zoning District to R-2/P-D (Low-Medium Density Residential/Planned Development) Zoning District;
- . Special Development Permit to construct three townhomes, and
- · Parcel Map to subdivide one lot into three lots.

### WHERE TO VIEW THIS DOCUMENT:

The **Mitigated Negative Declaration**, its supporting documentation and details relating to the project are on file and available for review and comment in the Office of the Secretary of the Planning Commission, City Hall, 456 West Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale.

This Mitigated Negative Declaration may be protested in writing by any person prior to 5:00 p.m. on Tuesday, April 5, 2005. Such protest shall be filed in the Department of Community Development, 456 W. Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale and shall include a written statement specifying anticipated environmental effects which may be significant. A protest of a Mitigated Negative Declaration will be considered by the adopting authority, whose action on the protest may be appealed.

# **HEARING INFORMATION:**

A public hearing on the project is scheduled for:

Monday, April 11, 2005 at 8:00 p.m. by the Planning Commission in the Council Chambers, City Hall, 456 West Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale; and on,

Tuesday, April 26, 2005 at 7:00 p.m. by the City Council in the Council Chambers, City Hall, 456 West Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale.

# TOXIC SITE INFORMATION:

| (No) listed toxic:  | sites are present at the pro | pject location.                                       |
|---------------------|------------------------------|---|
| Circulated On       | March 16, 2005               | Signed: June Carrico Gerri Carriso, Principal Planner |
| 2.1.03.10.10.1.0.1. |                              | Gerri Caruso, Principal Planner                       |



File Number: 2004-0772 No. 05-08

#### MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

This **Mitigated Negative Declaration** has been prepared in compliance with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970, as amended, and Resolution #118-04.

## PROJECT TITLE:

Application for a Rezone, Special Development Permit, and Parcel Map filed by Calla Properties

# PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION (APN):

Application for related proposals on an 11,200 square foot site located at **393 Beemer Avenue** (near N Mathilda Ave) on an R-2 (Low-Medium Density Residential) Zoning District. (APN: 204-51-030)

- Rezone from R-2 (Low-Medium Density Residential) Zoning District to R-2/P-D (Low-Medium Density Residential/Planned Development) Zoning District;
- Special Development Permit to construct three townhomes, and
- · Parcel Map to subdivide one lot into three lots.

### FINDINGS:

The Director of Community Development of the City of Sunnyvale, California, hereby determines that an environmental impact report is not required. There are sufficient environmental controls incorporated into the zoning regulations to ensure no significant detrimental effect.

The above determination is based upon the initial study conducted in this matter; information provided by the applicant in an "Application for Environmental Clearance" and is based on the fact that the use is in keeping with and not in conflict with the adopted General Plan and Zoning Ordinance. The use is specifically permitted by a Special Development Permit and site and architectural control will be exercised over the proposed development by the Planning Commission and City Council. No endangered species are known to depend on this site for habitat.

### MITIGATION MEASURES:

What and Where: Install sound-rated windows and doors for affected windows facing the Mathilda overpass or facing the rear property line to the north to achieve 45dB or less. Include mechanical ventilation systems for affected windows.

How: Indicate each home and show details of both window and mechanical ventilation systems on the building permit plans.

When: Prior to building permit final.

Who: The City will require this to be indicated on the building permit plans prior to issuance

This **Mitigated Negative Declaration** may be protested in writing by any person prior to **5:00 p.m. on Tuesday, April 5, 2005**. Such protest shall be filed in the Department of Community Development, 456 W. Olive Avenue, Sunnyvale and shall include a written statement specifying anticipated environmental effects which may be significant. A protest of a Negative Declaration will be considered by the adopting authority, whose action on the protest may be appealed.

| Circulated On | Signed: Man Cenuc<br>Gerri Caruso, Principal Planner |
|---------------|--|
| Adopted On    | <br>Verified:  |

Attachment C Page 3 of 19

File Number: 2004-0772

No. 05-08



# California Department of Fish and Game CERTIFICATE OF FEE EXEMPTION

De Minimis Impact Finding

## PROJECT TITLE/LOCATION (INCLUDE COUNTY):

The Special Development Permit is located on **393 Beemer Avenue**, City of Sunnyvale, County of Santa Clara in an R-2 (Low-Medium Density Residential) Zoning District. APN: 204-51-030

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

Application for related proposals on an 11,200 square foot site (near N Mathilda Ave) on an R-2 (Low-Medium Density Residential) Zoning District.

- Rezone from R-2 (Low-Medium Density Residential) Zoning District to R-2/P-D (Low-Medium Density Residential/Planned Development) Zoning District;
- · Special Development Permit to construct three townhomes, and
- · Parcel Map to subdivide one lot into three lots.

## FINDINGS OF EXEMPTION:

- 1. This project is in an urban setting.
- 2. There is no alteration of land or effect on fish or wildlife.

# **CERTIFICATION:**

I hereby certify that the public agency has made the above finding and that the project will not individually or cumulatively have an adverse effect on wildlife resources, as defined in Section 711.2 of the Fish and Game Code.

Gerri-Carriso

Title: Principal Planner, Community Development

Lead Agency: City of Sunnyvale

Date: March 16, 2005

# Environmental Checklist Form

Project Number: 2004-0772 Project Address:393 Beemer Avenue Applicant: Calla Properties

| D i t Title                                      | Townhomes on Beemer Ave.             |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| Project Title                                    | Townhomes on Beemer Ave.             |
| Lead Agency Name and Address                     | City of Sunnyvale                    |
|  | PO Box 3707 Sunnyvale, CA 94088-3707 |
| Contact Person                                   | Diana O'Dell                         |
| Phone Number                                     | 408-730-7452                         |
| Project Location                                 | 393 Beemer Avenue                    |
| Project Sponsor's Name                           | Calla Properties                     |
| Address  | 2600 Michelson Dr 17 <sup>th</sup>   |
|  | Irvine, Ca 92612                     |
| Zoning   | R-2                                  |
| General Plan                                     | Low Medium Density Residential       |
| Other Public Agencies whose approval is required | None                                 |

**Description of the Project:** This project removes existing structures on the site and constructs three townhomes. The proposed density is just under 12 du/acre. The proposal includes the preserving a substantial oak tree on site.

**Surrounding Uses and Setting:** The property is surrounded by single-family and multifamily uses and is adjacent to the Mathilda Avenue overpass on the western boundary.

Project Number: 2004-0772 Project Address:393 Beemer Avenue Applicant: Calla Properties

### **EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS**

- 1. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g. the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g. the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g. the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g. the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as onsite, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 4. Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 5. "Negative Declaration: Potentially Significant Unless Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section 17, "Earlier Analysis," may be cross-referenced).
- Earlier analysis may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063 (c) (3) (d). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
- 7. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
- Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within
  the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal
  standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on
  the earlier analysis.
- 9. Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project
- 10. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g. general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a

Environmental Checklist Form E 1 2 3 0 8

# Attachment C Page 6 of 19

Project Number: 2004-0772 Project Address:393 Beemer Avenue Applicant: Calla Properties

previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.

# Attachment C Page 7 of 19

E12308

Project Number: 2004-0772
Project Address:393 Beemer Avenue
Applicant: Calla Properties

## ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED.

Environmental Checklist Form

| The environmental factors che at least one impact that is a "P following pages.   | cked  | below would be potentially a  | ffected                         | d by this project, inv<br>d by the checklist o | rolving<br>n the |  |  |
|---|---|---|---------------------------------|--|------------------|--|--|
| ☐ Aesthetics  | ☐ Hazards & Hazardous ☐ Public Services Materials           |   |                                 |  |                  |  |  |
| ☐ Agricultural Resources  | ☐ Hydrology/Water ☐ Recreation                              |   |                                 |  |                  |  |  |
| ☐ Air Quality   |   | Quality<br>Land Use/Planning  |                                 | Transportation/Tra                             | affic            |  |  |
| ☐ Biological Resources  |   | Mineral Resources   |                                 | Utilities/Service                              |                  |  |  |
| Cultural Resources  |   | Noise   |                                 | Systems Mandatory Finding                      | gs of            |  |  |
| Geology/Soils   |   | Population/Housing  |                                 | Significance                                   |                  |  |  |
| DETERMINATION: (To be come on the basis of this initial evaluated of this initial evaluated of the basis of this initial evaluated of the basis of this initial evaluated of the basis of this case because project proponent. A MITIGATED NET if ind that the proposed project MAY in ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORTION of the basis of the | ation:  D NOT  ect coulcie revisi GATIVI  eave a s T is req | have a significant effect on the end d have a significant effect on the end ions in the project have been made E DECLARATION will be prepared significant effect on the environmenulired. | nvironm<br>by or a<br>nt, and a | nent, there will not be agreed to by the       |                  |  |  |
| I find that the proposed project WAY r<br>mitigated" impact on the environment,<br>document pursuant to applicable lega<br>based on the earlier analysis as desci<br>is required, but it must analyze only the  | , but at<br>I standa<br>ribed or                            | least one effect (1) has been adeq<br>ards, and (2) has been addressed<br>n attached sheets. An ENVIRONN  | uately a<br>by mitig            | analyzed in an earlier<br>ation measures       |                  |  |  |
| I find that although the proposed proje<br>potentially significant effects (a) have<br>pursuant to applicable standards and<br>NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including<br>proposed project, nothing further is re   | been a<br>(b) hav<br>g revisio                              | nalyzed in an earlier EIR or NEGA<br>re been avoided or mitigated pursu<br>ons or mitigation measures that are  | TIVE D                          | ECLARATION<br>nat earlier EIR or               |                  |  |  |
| Diese O'Dell  |   |   |                                 | March 15, 2005                                 |                  |  |  |
| Signature   |   |   |                                 | Date   |                  |  |  |
| Diana O'Dell  |   |   |                                 | City of Sunnyvale                              |                  |  |  |
| Printed Name  |   |   |                                 | For (Lead Agency)                              |                  |  |  |
|   |   |   |                                 |  |                  |  |  |

# Attachment C Page 8 of 19

# Environmental Checklist Form

|    |   | Potentially<br>Significant<br>Impact | Less than<br>Sig. With<br>Mitigation | Less Than<br>Significant | No Impact   | Source                     |
|----|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|
| 1. | AESTHETICS. Would the project:  |                                      |                                      |                          |             |                            |
|    | Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?  |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | 2, 94                      |
|    | <ul> <li>Substantially damage scenic resources,<br/>including, but not limited to trees, rock<br/>outcroppings, and historic buildings within<br/>a state scenic highway?</li> </ul>  |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | 2, 94                      |
|    | <ul> <li>Substantially degrade the existing visual<br/>character or quality of the site and its<br/>surroundings?</li> </ul>  |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 2, 94,<br>101              |
|    | d. Create a new source of substantial light or<br>glare which would adversely affect day or<br>nighttime views in the area?   |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 2, 94                      |
| 2. | AIR QUALITY: Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:  |                                      |                                      |                          |             |                            |
|    | a. Conflict with or obstruct implementation of<br>the applicable air quality plan?  |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | 3, 94,<br>100, 111         |
|    | Violate any air quality standard or<br>contribute substantially to an existing or<br>projected air quality violation.   |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 3, 94,<br>100, 111         |
|    | c. Result in a cumulatively considerable net<br>increase of any criteria pollutant for which<br>the project region is non-attainment under<br>an applicable federal or state ambient air<br>quality standard (including releasing<br>emissions which exceed quantitative<br>thresholds for ozone precursors)?                       |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 3, 96, 97,<br>100, 111     |
|    | d. Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?  |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 62. 63.<br>111. 112        |
|    | Create objectionable odors affecting a<br>substantial number of people?   |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 111. 112                   |
| 3. | BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES:   |                                      |                                      |                          |             |                            |
|    | a. Have a substantial adverse effect, either<br>directly or through habitat modifications, on<br>any species identified as a candidate,<br>sensitive, or special status species in local<br>or regional plans, policies, or regulations,<br>or by the California Department of Fish and<br>Game or U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service? |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 2, 94,<br>111, 112,<br>109 |

Attachment C Page 9 of 19

# Environmental Checklist Form

| The state of the s |    |   | Potentially<br>Significant<br>Impact | Less than<br>Sig. With<br>Mitigation | Less Than<br>Significant | No Impact   | Source                        |
|--|----|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
|  | b. | Have a substantially adverse impact on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S Wildlife Service?                          |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | 2, 94,<br>111, 112,<br>109    |
|  | C. | Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means? |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 2, 94,<br>111, 112,<br>109    |
|  | d. | Interfere substantially with the movement of<br>any resident or migratory fish or wildlife<br>species or with established native resident<br>migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the<br>use of native wildlife nursery sites?                                 |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 2, 94,<br>111, 112,<br>109    |
|  | e. | Conflict with any local policies or<br>ordinances protecting biological resources,<br>such as a tree preservation policy or<br>ordinance?   |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | 2, 94,<br>111, 112,<br>109    |
|  | f. | Conflict with the provisions of an adopted<br>Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural<br>Conservation Community Plan, other<br>approved local, regional, or state habitat<br>conservation plan?  |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 41,94,<br>111, 112            |
| 4.   | CU | LTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project:  |                                      |                                      |                          |             |                               |
|  | a. | Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Section 15064.5?  |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | 10, 42,<br>60, 61,<br>94, 111 |
|  | b. | Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resources pursuant to Section 15064.5   |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | 10, 42,<br>94                 |
|  | C. | Directly or indirectly destroy a unique<br>paleontological resource or site or unique<br>geologic feature?  |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | 10, 42,<br>94, 111            |
|  | d. | Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?   |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | 2, <b>1</b> 11,<br>112        |
| 5.   |    | ND USE AND PLANNING. Would the ject:  |                                      |                                      |                          |             |                               |
|  | a. | Physically divide an established community?   |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 2, 11, 12,<br>21, 28          |

Attachment C Page 10 of 19

# Environmental Checklist Form

|    |     |  | Potentially<br>Significant<br>Impact | Less than<br>Sig. With<br>Mitigation | Less Than<br>Significant | No Impact   | Source                        |
|----|-----|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
|    | b.  | Conflict with an applicable land use plan, policy or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect? |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 31, 28,<br>111                |
|    | C.  | Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural communities conservation plan?   |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | 2, 41, 94,<br>111             |
| 6. | MIN | NERAL RESOURCES. Would the project:  |                                      |                                      |                          |             |                               |
|    | a.  | Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?  |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | 2, 94                         |
|    | b.  | Result in the loss of availability of a locally-<br>important mineral resource recovery site<br>defineated on a local general plan, specific<br>plan or other land use plan?   |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | 2, 94                         |
| 7, | NO  | ISE. Would the project result in:  |                                      |                                      |                          |             |                               |
|    | a.  | Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?   |                                      |                                      |                          |             | See disc.                     |
|    | b.  | Exposure of persons to or generation of<br>excessive groundborne vibration or<br>groundborne noise levels?   |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | 2, 16, 26,<br>94, 111,<br>112 |
|    | C.  | A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?  |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 2, 16, 26,<br>94, 111,<br>112 |
|    | d.  | A substantially temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?  |                                      |                                      | $\boxtimes$              |             | See disc.                     |
| 8. |     | PULATION AND HOUSING. Would the ject:  |                                      |                                      |                          |             |                               |
|    | a.  | Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?   |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 2, 94                         |
|    | b.  | Displace substantial numbers of existing   |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 2, 11,                        |

Attachment C Page 11 of 19

# Environmental Checklist Form

|   | Potentially<br>Significant<br>Impact | Less than<br>Sig. With<br>Mitigation | Less Than<br>Significant | No Impact   | Source                                       |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|--|
| replacement housing elsewhere?  |                                      |                                      |                          |             |  |
| <ul> <li>Displace substantial numbers of people,<br/>necessitating the construction of<br/>replacement housing elsewhere?</li> </ul>  |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 2, 11,<br>111, 112                           |
| 9. PUBLIC SERVICES. Would the project result<br>in substantial adverse physical impacts<br>associated with the provision of new or<br>physically altered government facilities, need for<br>new or physically altered government facilities,<br>the construction of which could cause<br>significant environmental impacts, in order to<br>maintain acceptable service ratios, response<br>times or other performance objectives for any of<br>the public services:                     |                                      |                                      |                          |             |  |
| a. Schools?   |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | 2, 111,<br>112                               |
| b. Police protection?   |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | 26, 65,<br>66, 103,<br>104                   |
| c. Fire protection?   |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | 26, 65,<br>66, 103,<br>104                   |
| d. Parks?   |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 2, 111,<br>112                               |
| e. Other services?  |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 111  |
| 10. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE  |                                      |                                      |                          |             |  |
| a. Does the project have the potential to<br>degrade the quality of the environment,<br>substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or<br>wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife<br>population to drop below self-sustaining<br>levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or<br>animal community, reduce the number or<br>restrict the range of a rare or endangered<br>plant or animal, or eliminate important<br>examples of the major periods of California<br>history or prehistory? |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | 2, 10, 26,<br>42, 59,<br>60, 61,<br>111, 112 |
| b. Does the project have impacts that are<br>individually limited, but cumulatively<br>considerable? ("Cumulatively<br>considerable" means that the incremental<br>effects of a project are considerable when<br>viewed in connection with the effects of the<br>past projects, the effects of other current<br>projects, and the effects of probable future<br>projects)?  |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 1, 2, 111,<br>112                            |

Attachment C Page 12 of 19

# E12308

Environmental Checklist Form E1230

|   | Potentially<br>Significant<br>Impact | Less than<br>Sig. With<br>Mitigation | Less Than<br>Significant | No Impact   | Source                      |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| c. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?   |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | 111, 112                    |
| 11. GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:   |                                      |                                      |                          |             |                             |
| Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury or death involving:  |                                      |                                      |                          |             |                             |
| Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | UBC,<br>UPC,<br>UMC,<br>NEC |
| ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?  |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | ıı                          |
| iii) Seismic-related ground failure,<br>including liquefaction?   |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | LE                          |
| iv) Landslides?   |                                      |                                      |                          |             | и                           |
| Besult in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?  |                                      |                                      |                          |             | ce                          |
| c. Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is<br>unstable, or that would become unstable<br>as a result of the project, and potentially<br>result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral<br>spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or<br>collapse?                                   |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 66                          |
| d. Be located on expansive soil, as defined in<br>Table 18-a-B of the Uniform Building Code<br>(1994), creating substantial risks to life or<br>property?   |                                      |                                      |                          |             | u                           |
| Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?   |                                      |                                      |                          |             | "                           |

# Attachment C Page 13 of 19

# E12308

Project Number: 2004-0772 Project Address:393 Beemer Avenue Applicant: Calla Properties Environmental Checklist Form

|    |   | ± ₹                                  | 5-5                                  | = #                      | *           | T  |
|----|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|--|
|    |   | Potentially<br>Significant<br>Impact | Less than<br>Sig. With<br>Mitigation | Less Than<br>Significant | No Impact   | Source                                       |
|    | TILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would e project:  |                                      |                                      |                          |             |  |
| a. | Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?  |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | 2, 20, 24,<br>87, 88,<br>89, 90,<br>111, 112 |
| b. | Require or result in construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?   |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | 2, 20, 24,<br>25, 87,<br>88, 89,<br>111, 112 |
| C. | Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?  |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | 2, 20, 24,<br>25, 87,<br>88, 89,<br>114, 112 |
| d. | Have sufficient water supplies available to<br>serve the project from existing entitlements<br>and resources, or are new or expanded<br>entitlements needed?  |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 2, 20, 24,<br>25, 87,<br>88, 89,<br>111, 112 |
| e. | Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which services or may serve the project determined that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?   |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 2, 20, 24,<br>25, 87,<br>88, 89,<br>111, 112 |
| f. | Be served by a landfill with sufficient<br>permitted capacity to accommodate the<br>project's solid waste disposal needs?   |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | 2, 22, 90,<br>111, 112                       |
| g. | Comply with federal, state, and local<br>statues and regulations related to solid<br>waste?   |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 2, 22, 90,<br>111, 112                       |
|    | RANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would the oject:   |                                      |                                      |                          |             |  |
| a. | Cause an increase in the traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)? |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 2, 12, 71,<br>75-77,<br>111, 112             |
| b. | Exceed, either individually or cumulatively,<br>a level of service standard established by<br>the county congestion management  |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 2, 12, 71,<br>75-77,<br>80, 84,<br>111, 112  |

Attachment C Page 14 of 19

# Environmental Checklist Form

|    |   | Potentially<br>Significant<br>Impact | Less than<br>Sig. With<br>Mitigation | Less Than<br>Significant | No Impact   | Source                                      |
|----|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|---|
|    | agency for designated roads or highways?  |                                      |                                      |                          |             |   |
| C. | Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?  |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | 2, 111,<br>112, 113                         |
| d. | Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)?  |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 2, 12, 71,<br>75-77,<br>80, 84,<br>111, 112 |
| e. | Result in inadequate emergency access?  |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 2, 111,<br>112                              |
| f. | Result in inadequate parking capacity?  |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 37, 111                                     |
| g. | Conflict with adopted policies or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?   |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | 2, 12, 81,<br>111, 112                      |
|    | ZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. build the project?   |                                      |                                      |                          |             |   |
| a. | Create a significant hazard to the public or<br>the environment through the routine<br>transport, use or disposal of hazardous<br>materials?  |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | UFC,<br>UBC,<br>SVMC                        |
| b. | Create a significant hazard to the public or<br>the environment through reasonably<br>foreseeable upset and accident conditions<br>involving the likely release of hazardous<br>materials into the environment?   |                                      |                                      |                          |             | UFC,<br>UBC,<br>SVMC                        |
| C. | Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an exiting or proposed school?   |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | UFC,<br>UBC,<br>SVMC                        |
| d. | Be located on a site which is included on a<br>list of hazardous materials sites compiled<br>pursuant to Government Code Section<br>65962.5 and, as a result would it create a<br>significant hazard to the public or the<br>environment?                     |                                      |                                      |                          |             | UFC,<br>UBC,<br>SVMC                        |
| e. | For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area? |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | UFC,<br>UBC,<br>SVMC                        |

# Attachment C Page 15 of 19

# Environmental Checklist Form

| 7.7                         |   | Potentially<br>Significant<br>Impact | Less than<br>Sig. With<br>Mitigation | Less Than<br>Significant | No Impact   | Source               |
|-----------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|----------------------|
| f.                          | For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?  |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | UFC,<br>UBC,<br>SVMC |
| g                           | . Impair implementation of, or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?   |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | UFC,<br>UBC,<br>SVMC |
| h                           | Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands  |                                      |                                      |                          |             | UFC,<br>UBC,<br>SVMC |
| 15. R                       | ECREATION\  |                                      |                                      |                          |             |                      |
| а                           | Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood or regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?  |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 2, 18,<br>111, 112   |
| b                           | Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?  | -                                    |                                      |                          |             | 2, 18,<br>111, 112   |
| w<br>si<br>m<br>E<br>p<br>C | GRICULTURE RESOURCES: In determining hether impacts to agricultural resources are gnificant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land valuation and Site Assessment Model (1997) repared by the California Department of onservation as an optional model to use in ssessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. /ould the project: |                                      |                                      |                          |             |                      |
| а                           | Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency to nonagricultural use?  |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 94                   |
| b                           | Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?   |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 94                   |
| С                           | Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or   |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 94                   |

Attachment C Page 16 of 19

# Environmental Checklist Form

|   |  | Potentially<br>Significant<br>Impact | Less than<br>Sig. With<br>Mitigation | Less Than<br>Significant | No Impact   | Source                 |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|------------------------|
|   | Farmland, to non-agricultural use  |                                      |                                      |                          |             |                        |
| 17. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project: |  |                                      |                                      |                          |             |                        |
|   | Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?   |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 2, 24, 25,<br>111, 112 |
|   | Substantially degrade groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)? |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 2, 24, 25,<br>111, 112 |
| ;   | Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation onor off-site?  |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 2, 24, 25,<br>111, 112 |
|   | Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off site?   |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 2, 24, 25,<br>111, 112 |
|   | Create or contribute runoff which would<br>exceed the capacity of existing or planned<br>stormwater drainage systems or provide<br>substantial additional sources of polluted<br>runoff?   |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | 2, 24, 25,<br>111, 112 |
|   | Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?   |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | 2, 24, 25,<br>111, 112 |
|   | Place housing within a 100-year floodplain,<br>as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard<br>Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or<br>other flood hazard delineation map?   |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | 2, 24, 25,<br>111, 112 |
|   | Place within a 100-year flood hazard area<br>structures which would impede or redirect<br>flood flows?   |                                      |                                      |                          |             | 2, 24, 25,<br>111, 112 |
| İ   | Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding including flooding as a result of  |                                      |                                      |                          | $\boxtimes$ | 2, 24, 25,<br>111, 112 |

Attachment C Page 17 of 19

E12308 Project Number: 2004-0772 Project Address:393 Beemer Avenue Applicant: Calla Properties

|   | Potentially<br>Significant<br>Impact | Less than<br>Sig. With<br>Mitigation | Less Than<br>Significant | No Impact | Source                 |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|------------------------|
| the failure of a levee or dam?                |                                      |                                      |                          |           |                        |
| j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow? |                                      |                                      |                          |           | 2, 24, 25,<br>111, 112 |

## Discussion:

Environmental Checklist Form

Temporary Noise: This project will temporarily increase noise levels in the area due to construction and grading. However, construction hours are limited by the Sunnyvale Municipal Code in order to reduce impacts on adjoining residents.

Noise Environment: The project is adjacent to the Mathilda Avenue overpass, which is a major arterial generating ambient noise levels that exceed living area standards for the residential uses. Certain mitigation measures are required to ensure adequate decibel levels inside the homes. A noise consultant, Edward L. Pack Associates, Inc., conducted a professional evaluation of the area and determined that the following measures are necessary to ensure no more than an Ldn of 45dB with doors and windows closed.

1. What and Where: Install sound-rated windows and doors for affected windows facing the Mathilda overpass or facing the rear property line to the north to achieve 45dB or less. Include mechanical ventilation systems for affected windows.

How: Indicate each home and show details of both window and mechanical ventilation systems on the building permit plans.

When: Prior to building permit final.

Who: The City will require this to be indicated on the building permit plans prior to issuance.

| Diana O'Dell | 03/15/05 |  |  |
|--------------|----------|--|--|
| Completed By | Date     |  |  |

# Attachment C Page 18 of 19

#### Environmental Checklist Form

Project Number: 2004-0772 Project Address:393 Beemer Avenue Applicant: Calla Properties

### City of Sunnyvale General Plan:

- Air Quality Sub-Element
- Community Design Sub-Element
- Community Participation Sub-Element
- Cultural Arts Sub-Element
- **Executive Summary**
- Fire Services Sub-Élement
- Fiscal Sub-Element
- Heritage Preservation Sub-Element 10.
- Housing & Community Revitalization Sub-11.
- Land Use & Transportation Sub-Element
- 13.
- Law Enforcement Sub-Element
  Legislative Management Sub-Element 14.
- Library Sub-Element 15.
- Noise Sub-Element 16.
- Open Space Sub-Element.
- Recreation Sub-Element
- Safety & Seismic Safety Sub-Element
- Sanitary Sewer System Sub-Element Socio-Economic Sub-Element 20.
- Solid Waste Management Sub-Element 22.
- Support Services Sub-Element
- Surface Run-off Sub-Element
- 25. Water Resources Sub-Element
- City of Sunnyvale Municipal Code: 26
- 27. Chapter 10
- 28. Zoning Map
- Chapter 19.42. Operating Standards
- 30.
- Chapter 19.28. Downtown Specific Plan District Chapter 19.18. Residential Zoning Districts 31.
- Chapter 19.20. Commercial Zoning Districts 32.
- 33. Chapter 19.22. Industrial Zoning Districts Chapter 19.24, Office Zoning Districts
- 35. Chapter 19.26. Combining Zoning Districts
- 36.
- Chapter 19.28. Downtown Specific Plan Chapter 19.46. Off-Street Parking & Loading Chapter 19.56. Solar Access 37.
- 38.
- 39. Chapter 19.66, Affordable Housing
- Chapter 19.72. Conversion of Mobile Home Parks to Other Uses
- Chapter 19.94, Tree Preservation 41
- Chapter 19.96. Heritage Preservation 42

### Specific Plans

- El Camino Real Precise Plan 43.
- Lockheed Site Master Use Permit
- Moffett Field Comprehensive Use Plan 45.
- 46. 101 & Lawrence Site Specific Plan Southern Pacific Corridor Plan 47

# **Environmental Impact Reports**

- Futures Study Environmental Impact Report 48.
- Lockheed Site Master Use Permit Environmental 49. Impact Report
- Tasman Corridor LRT Environmental Impact 50. Study (supplemental)
- Kaiser Permanente Medical Center Replacement

- Center Environmental Impact Report (City of Santa Clara)
- 52. Downtown Development Program Environmental
- 53. Caribbean-Moffett Park Environmental Impact
- Southern Pacific Corridor Plan Environmental 54. Impact Report

### Maps

- City of Sunnyvale Aerial Maps 55.
- 56. Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FEMA)
- 57 Santa Clara County Assessors Parcel
- Utility Maps (50 scale) 58.

### Lists/inventories

- Sunnyvale Cultural Resources Inventory List
- Heritage Landmark Designation List
- Santa Clara County Heritage Resource Inventory 61.
- Hazardous Waste & Substances Sites List (State 62. of California)
- 63. List of Known Contaminants in Sunnyvale

### Legislation/Acts/Bills/Codes

- Subdivision Map Act Uniform Fire Code, including amendments per 65. SMC adoption
- National Fire Code (National Fire Protection 66. Association)
- 67.
- 68
- Title 19 California Administrative Code California Assembly Bill 2185/2187 (Waters Bill) California Assembly Bill 3777 (La Follette Bill) 69.
- Superfund Amendments & Reauthorization Act 70. (SARA) Title III

# Transportation

- California Department of Transportation Highway Design Manual
- California Department of Transportation Traffic 72. Manual
- California Department of Transportation Standard 73.
- California Department of Transportation Standard Specification 74.
- Institute of Transportation Engineers Trip 75. Generation
- Institute of Transportation Engineers Transportation and Traffic Engineering Handbook
- U.S. Dept. of Transportation Federal Highway Admin. Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Street and Highways
- California Vehicle Code
- Traffic Engineering Theory & Practice by L. J. 79. Pegnataro
- Santa Clara County Congestion Management 80. Program and Technical Guidelines
- Santa Clara County Transportation Agency Short Range Transit Plan

# Attachment C Page 19 of 19

### Environmental Checklist Form

E12308 Project Number: 2004-0772 Project Address:393 Beemer Avenue Applicant: Calla Properties

- Santa Clara County Transportation Plan
- Traffic Volume Studies, City of Sunnyvale Public 83. works Department of Traffic Engineering Division
- Santa Clara County Sub-Regional Deficiency Plan
- Bicycle Plan 85.

### Public Works

- Standard Specifications and Details of the Department of Public Works Storm Drain Master Plan 86.
- Sanitary Sewer Master Plan 88.
- 89. Water Master Plan
- Solid Waste Management Plan of Santa Clara County
- Geotechnical Investigation Reports Engineering Division Project Files Subdivision and Parcel Map Files 91
- 92.

# Miscellaneous

- Field Inspection
  Environmental Information Form 95
- Annual Summary of Containment Excesses 96. (BAAQMD)
- Current Air Quality Data
- Chemical Emergency Preparedness Program (EPA) Interim Document in 1985?)

- Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) 99. Population Projections
- 100. Bay Area Clean Air Plan101. City-wide Design Guidelines
- 102. Industrial Design Guidelines

# **Building Safety**

- 103. Uniform Building Code, Volume 1, (Including the California Building Code, Volume 1)

  104. Uniform Building Code, Volume 2, (Including the California Building Code, Volume 2)
- 105. Uniform Plumbing Code, (Including the California Plumbing Code)
- 106. Uniform Mechanical Code, (Including the California Mechanical Code)
  107. National Electrical Code (Including California)
- Electrical Code)
- 108. Title 16 of the Sunnyvale Municipal Code

- Additional References 109. USFWS/CA Dept. F&G Special Status Lists 110. Project Traffic Impact Analysis
- 111. Project Description
- 112. Project Development Plans
- 113. Santa Clara County Airport Land Use Plan
- 114. Federal Aviation Administration